

Copyright Lawsuits v. AI Companies

Canada



Bartz v. Anthropic
Judge Alsup

Kadrey v. Meta
Judge Chhabria

FAIR USE TRIANGLE



Thomson Reuters v. ROSS Intelligence
Judge Bibas, on appeal to 3d Circuit

Germany



GEMA v. OpenAI
Munich Regional Court
Model ‘memorized’ copies as shown by prompts resulting in reproduced lyrics. The “lyrics are reproducibly included in the models.” Outputs prove it.



Kneschke v. LAOIN on appeal
Hamburg High. Regional Court
Nonprofit dataset maker’s dataset falls w/in TDM exception

Japan

China

Shanghai Cultural Dev. v. Intell. Tech..
Hangzhou Internet Court
Permissible use to train with copyrighted works if model does not produce infringing outputs:

It is “not intended to reproduce the original expression of the works” used in training.

UK



Getty Images (US) v. Stability AI Ltd.
High Court of Justice in London, on appeal
AI model itself is not “infringing copy” w/o proof it stored a copy of work(s) in training. But no evidence of memorized copy here. (No © claim to training outside UK.)

Netherlands

France

South Korea

EU

India

Brazil

