

Chat GPT Is Eating the World

AI COPYRIGHT WARS, LAWSUITS, STATUS, UNCATEGORIZED

Status of all 24 copyright lawsuits v. AI companies (Jun. 14, 2026): 1st trial in AI cases nears, Thomson Reuters v. ROSS Intelligence

June 14, 2024

Here's the latest news in all 24 copyright lawsuits against AI companies.

We have exciting news:

- After months of a quiet docket, *Thomson Reuters v. ROSS Intelligence* is gearing up for its **August 26, 2024 trial date**. The parties filed a joint letter asking for a pretrial briefing schedule over whether Judge Bibas should determine, as a legal question, aspects of West's Key Number System are uncopyrightable. ROSS asks the court to hold a "filtration" hearing to decide that copyrightability issue; Thomson Reuters opposes it on the ground it should be left to the jury. What's more exciting than the proposed filtration hearing is the upcoming trial.
- In *The Intercept Media v. OpenAI*, **Judge Rakoff** didn't grant the defendant's motion to dismiss, but he did find the complaint lacking specific allegations and granted the plaintiff

leave to amend to file an amended complaint by June 21. Afterwards, with supplemental briefing, Judge Rakoff will “promptly rule” on the motion to dismiss.

- **OpenAI** has filed **motions to consolidate** the *New York Times* lawsuit and the *Daily News* lawsuit, both of which are already before Judge Stein.
- The New York Times and OpenAI are still locked in discovery disputes over what each party needs to turn over. This week’s exchange of letters is over what OpenAI must produce: the New York Times **seeks a range of information** from OpenAI related to its copyright policy and training of models, for-profit formation, and partnership with Microsoft, while OpenAI **opposes** some of those requests and says it has responded to other requests.

The new developments from **last week’s report** are indicated with an asterisk*.

Case name	Jurisdiction	Judge	Latest status
<u>Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH et al v. ROSS Intelligence Inc.</u>	D. Del.	Bibas	<u>*Joint Letter to The Honorable Stephanos Bibas from Bindu A. Palapura regarding Filtration Hearing. (Palapura, Bindu) (Jun. 12, 2024)*</u> Trial on the copyright issues will begin on August 26, 2024, at 9:00 a.m. in Wilmington, Delaware and will last five days. Jury selection on August 23, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.
<u>Getty Images (US), Inc. v. Stability AI Ltd</u>	D. Del.	Hall	<u>NOTICE to Take Deposition</u> of Peter O’Donoghue on February 22, 2024 filed by Getty Images. Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss or Transfer (D.I. 16) is DISMISSED without prejudice to re-file after the conclusion of jurisdictional discovery (Jan. 26, 2024).
<u>Doe 1 v. Github, Inc.</u>	ND Cal.	Tigar	CLERKS NOTICE SETTING ZOOM HEARING. Notice is hereby given to all parties that a motion hearing on 248 Joint Discovery Letter Brief is set for 7/11/2024 01:00 PM in Oakland , – Videoconference Only before Chief Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu. Parties can find the Zoom link to Judge Ryu’s virtual Zoom courtroom at: https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/ryu-donna-m-dmr/

			<p><u>OpenAI Supplemental Authority re Joint Discovery Letter (May 5, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Joint Discovery Letter (April 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Judge Tigar order denying Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of dismissal of CMI claims</u> (filed Apr. 15, 2024)</p> <p><u>Doe 1 Opp. to Microsoft's MTD (Mar. 27, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Doe 1 Opp. to OpenAI MTD (Mar. 27, 2024)</u></p> <p>– hearing on May 16, 2024, 2 PM</p> <p>Defendants <u>moved to dismiss</u> 2d amended complaint claims under 1202 of DMCA and breach of contract.</p> <p><u>Judge Tigar granted in part</u> Github, Microsoft's motion to dismiss, leaving only 3 claims for breach of contract and unfair competition. [<u>Summary</u>]</p>
<p><u>Sarah Andersen v. Stability AI Ltd</u></p>	<p>ND Cal.</p>	<p>Orrick</p>	<p><u>Withdrawal orders of Amir R. Ghavi, Michael C. Keats, Nicole M. Jantzi, Paul M. Schoenhard, FRIED FRANK HARRIS SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP for Stability AI (May 24, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' ADR Certifications (May 9, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Judge Orrick's Tentative Rulings re MTD (May 7, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Runway Reply in supp. MTD (Apr. 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Runway Reply re Judicial Notice exhibits + webpages (Apr. 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>DeviantArt Reply in supp. MTD (Apr. 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Stability AI Reply in supp. MTD (Apr 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Midjourney Reply in supp. MTD (Apr. 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Midjourney Reply re Judicial</u></p>

			<p><u>Notice exhibits + webpages</u> (Apr. 18, 2024)* Hearing: May 8, 2024, 2 PM PDT</p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' Opp. to Runway AI's MTD</u> (Mar. 21,2024) <u>Plaintiffs' Opp. to DeviantArt's MTD</u> (Mar. 21, 2024) <u>Plaintiffs' Opp. to Stability AI's MTD</u> (Mar. 21, 2024) <u>Plaintiffs' Opp. to Midjourney's MTD</u>(Mar. 21, 2024)</p> <p><u>Runway AI filed MTD</u> (Feb. 8, 2024). <u>DeviantArt filed MTD</u> (Feb. 8, 2024). <u>Stability AI filed MTD</u> (Feb. 8, 2024). <u>Midjourney filed MTD</u> (Feb. 8, 2024).</p> <p><u>First Amended Complaint.</u></p>
<u>Zhang v. Google</u>	N.D. Cal.	Davila	<p><u>Initial Case Management Scheduling Order with ADR Deadlines: Notice: The assigned judge participates in the Cameras in the Courtroom Pilot Project. See General Order No. 65 and http://cand.uscourts.gov/cameras. Case Management Statement due by 8/15/2024.</u></p> <p>Initial Case Management Conference set for 8/22/2024 10:00 AM in San Jose, Courtroom 4, 5th Floor.</p> <p><u>Complaint filed on Apr. 26, 2024*</u></p>
<u>Richard Kadrey v. Meta Platforms, Inc.</u> <u>Chabon v. Meta Platforms</u> consolidated with Kadrey v. Meta Platforms above	ND Cal.	Chhabria	<p><u>ORDER by Magistrate Judge Thomas S. Hixson granting Stipulation re: electronic discovery.</u></p> <p>For the reasons discussed at the 1/25/2024 hearing, the 65 Motion to Appoint Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel is denied without prejudice. <u>Meta Answer</u> (filed Jan. 10,</p>

			2024) <u>First Amended Complaint</u> filed Dec. 11, 2023.
<p>In re OpenAI ChatGPT Litigation, Case No. 23-cv-03223.</p> <p>Three cases consolidated and recaptioned above: <u>Paul Tremblay v. OpenAI, Inc.</u></p> <p><u>Sarah Silverman v. OpenAI, Inc.</u> consolidated</p> <p><u>Chabon v. OpenAI</u> consolidated</p>	ND Cal.	Araceli Martinez-Olguin	<p>Order by Magistrate Judge Robert M. Illman granting 145 Letter Brief. of Defendants to include Exhibits (May 31, 2024)</p> <p><u>Letter by Defendants requesting permission to include Exhibits to position statements (May 30, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Order by Magistrate Judge Robert M. Illman re Third Discovery Dispute granting in part and denying in part 143 Discovery Letter Brief. (rmilc2, COURT STAFF) (May 24, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Defendants' Reply in supp. MTD</u> (Apr. 19, 2024) -Hearing Aug. 1, 2024, 2 PM PDT</p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' Opposition to MTD</u> (filed April 10, 2024)</p> <p><u>OpenAI's motion to dismiss 1st amended consolidated complaint (Mar. 27, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' First Consolidated Amended Complaint filed (Mar. 13, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Order Denying Motion by Tremblay to Enjoin Defendants from Litigating in SDNY</u></p> <p><u>Order Granting in Part OpenAI's motion</u> to dismiss vicarious infringement claim, Section 1202 CMI claims, negligence, and unjust enrichment.</p> <p><u>Pretrial Order No. 1 with stipulation and Order to consolidate cases</u></p>
<p><u>J.L. v. Alphabet Inc</u></p>	ND Cal.	Araceli Martinez-Olguin	<p><u>Court Grants Motion to Dismiss: In light of the concerns expressed by Judge Chhabria in his order dismissing the</u></p>

complaint
in the matter of Cousart v.
OpenAI LP, No. 23-cv-4557-
VC.1
and given the overlap in the
plaintiffs named, the involved
plaintiffs' counsel, and the
claims asserted in this case and
Cousart,
Google's motion to dismiss
Plaintiffs' amended complaint is
GRANTED, without prejudice.
Plaintiffs shall file a
second amended complaint
within 21 days of this order.

STATEMENT OF RECENT
DECISION pursuant to Civil
Local Rule 7-3.d filed by Google
LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit
1)(Related document(s) 33)
(Kramer, David) (May 28,
2024)* citing to Judge
Chhabria's dismissal of Cousart
v. OpenAI

Order by Judge Araceli
Martinez-Olguin granting 39
Stipulation to Continue Case
Management Conference and
Motion Hearing. Motion Hearing
set for 9/5/2024 02:00 PM in
San Francisco, Courtroom 10,
19th Floor before Judge Araceli
Martinez-Olguin. Case
Management Statement due by
noon on 11/27/2024. Initial Case
Management Conference set
for 10/3/2024 at 10:00 AM in
San Francisco, Courtroom 10,
19th Floor.

CLERK'S NOTICE
 CONTINUING MOTION
 HEARING re [33] Motion to
 Dismiss. Motion Hearing set for
 5/16/2024 IS CONTINUED TO
8/22/2024 at 2:00 PM in
San Francisco, Courtroom 10,
 19th Floor before Judge Araceli
 Martinez-Olguin.

Google reply (filed April 5,
 2024), hearing for May 16,

			<p>2024, 2 PM</p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' Opp. to Google MTD</u> (filed Mar. 15, 2024, hearing set for May 16, 2024, 2 PM PDT, Courtroom 10)</p> <p><u>Google filed motion to dismiss</u> some claims (Feb. 9, 2024)</p> <p>ORDER GRANTING AS MODIFIED 31 STIPULATION to Continue Case Management Conference and Authorize Briefs in Excess of Default Page Limits. Case Management Statement due by noon on 5/30/2024. Initial Case Management Conference set for 6/6/2024 at 10:00 AM in San Francisco, Courtroom 10, 19th Floor.</p> <p><u>First Amended Complaint</u> filed on January 5, 2024.</p>
<p><u>Abdi Nazemian v. NVIDIA Corp.</u></p>	<p>N.D. Cal.</p>	<p>Tigar</p>	<p>*JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-2 by Judge Jon S. Tigar granting 57 Stipulation. Joint Case Management Statement due by 8/13/2024.</p> <p>Initial Case Management Conference continued to 8/20/2024 at 02:00 PM Videoconference Only.</p> <p>This proceeding will be held via a Zoom webinar. Webinar Access: All counsel, members of the public, and media may access the webinar information at https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/jst Court Appearances: Advanced notice is required of counsel or parties who wish to be identified by the court as making an appearance or will be participating in the argument at the hearing. One list of names of all counsel appearing for all parties should be sent in one email to</p>

the CRD at jstcrd@cand.uscourts.gov no later than 8/19/2024 at 2:00 PM PST. General Order 58. Persons granted access to court proceedings held by telephone or videoconference are reminded that photographing, recording, and rebroadcasting of court proceedings, including screenshots or other visual copying of a hearing, is absolutely prohibited. Zoom Guidance and Setup: <https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/zoom/>. (kc, COURT STAFF) (Jun. 7, 2024)*

ORDER RELATING CASE. Case C-24-1454-JST is related to case C-24-2655-VC. Signed by Judge Jon S. Tigar on 5/29/2024. (May 29, 2024); Dubus v. NVIDIA is resassigned to Judge Tigar

ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION RE: BRIEFING SCHEDULE by Judge Jon S. Tigar granting 31 Stipulation. Response to complaint due by 5/24/2024. Opposition to motion responsive to complaint due by 6/21/2024. Reply in support of responsive motion due by 7/5/2024.

CLERKS NOTICE SETTING ZOOM HEARING. **Case Management Statement due by 6/11/2024.** Initial Case Management Conference set for **6/18/2024 02:00 PM in Oakland, – Videoconference Only.** This proceeding will be held via a Zoom webinar. Webinar Access: All counsel, members of the public, and media may access the webinar information at <https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/jst> Court Appearances: Advanced notice is required of

			<p>counsel or parties who wish to be identified by the court as making an appearance or will be participating in the argument at the hearing.</p> <p><u>Complaint filed</u> (Mar. 8, 2024)</p>
<p><u>Dubus v. NVIDIA Corp.</u></p>	<p>N.D. Cal.</p>	<p>Tigar</p>	<p>*JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-2 by Judge Jon S. Tigar granting 57 Stipulation. Joint Case Management Statement due by 8/13/2024.</p> <p>Initial Case Management Conference continued to 8/20/2024 at 02:00 PM Videoconference Only.</p> <p>This proceeding will be held via a Zoom webinar. Webinar Access: All counsel, members of the public, and media may access the webinar information at https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/jst Court Appearances: Advanced notice is required of counsel or parties who wish to be identified by the court as making an appearance or will be participating in the argument at the hearing. One list of names of all counsel appearing for all parties should be sent in one email to the CRD at jstcrd@cand.uscourts.gov no later than 8/19/2024 at 2:00 PM PST. General Order 58. Persons granted access to court proceedings held by telephone or videoconference are reminded that photographing, recording, and rebroadcasting of court proceedings, including screenshots or other visual copying of a hearing, is absolutely prohibited. Zoom Guidance and Setup: https://www.cand.uscourts.gov</p>

[/zoom/](#). (kc, COURT STAFF)
(Jun. 7, 2024)*

ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT by Judge Jon S. Tigar granting 21 Stipulation. Response to the complaint due by 7/1/2024.

Case reassigned to Judge Tigar as related case (May 29, 2024)

CLERKS NOTICE SETTING ZOOM HEARING. Case Management Statement due by 6/11/2024.

Initial Case Management Conference set for **6/18/2024 at 02:00 PM in Oakland**, –

Videoconference Only. This proceeding will be held via a Zoom webinar. Webinar Access: All counsel, members of the public, and media may access the webinar information at

<https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/jst>

st Court Appearances:

Advanced notice is required of counsel or parties who wish to be identified by the court as making an appearance or will be participating in the argument at the hearing. One list of names of all counsel appearing for all parties should be sent in one email to the CRD at

jstcrd@cand.uscourts.gov no later than **6/17/2024 at 2:00 PM** PST.General Order 58.

Persons granted access to court proceedings held by telephone or videoconference are reminded that photographing, recording, and rebroadcasting of court proceedings, including screenshots or other visual copying of a hearing, is absolutely prohibited.Zoom

Guidance and Setup:

<https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/zoom/>.*

			<u>Complaint filed May 2, 2024</u>
<u>O’Nan v. Databricks, Mosaic ML</u>	N.D. Cal.	Breyer	<p>A Joint Case Management Statement due by 6/14/2024. Initial Case Management Conference set for 6/21/2024 at 8:30 AM in San Francisco – To be determined.</p> <p><u>*Order finding Makkai v. Databricks is related case (May 13, 2024)*</u></p> <p><u>*Defendants’ Answer (May 2, 2024)*</u></p> <p>Initial Case Management Scheduling Order with ADR Deadlines: Case Management Statement due by 5/30/2024. Initial Case Management Conference set for 6/6/2024 10:30 AM in San Francisco, Courtroom F, 15th Floor. (cjl, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 3/11/2024) (Entered: 03/11/2024)</p> <p><u>Complaint filed</u> (Mar. 8, 2024)</p>
<u>Makkai v. Databricks, Inc., Mosaic ML</u>	N.D. Cal.	White	<p><u>*Defendants Answer to Complaint (May 29, 2024)*</u></p> <p>CLERK’S NOTICE: A Joint Case Management Statement due by 6/14/2024. Initial Case Management Conference set for 6/21/2024 at 8:30 AM in San Francisco – To be determined. (May 14, 2024)</p> <p><u>ORDER REASSIGNING CASE. Case reassigned to Judge Charles R. Breyer for all further proceedings. Judge Jeffrey S. White no longer assigned to case.</u></p> <p><u>Complaint filed May 2, 2024</u></p>
<u>Huckabee v. Meta Platforms Inc</u>	ND Cal. (transferred from SDNY)	Tse	Order by Judge Vince Chhabria granting #105

<p>(dismissed without prejudice and tolling). *SDNY case v. Bloomberg oingong</p>			<p>Stipulation of Dismissal without Prejudice and Tolling Agreement</p>
<p><u>Concord Music Group, Inc. et al v. Anthropic PBC</u></p>	<p>M.D. Tenn.</p>	<p>Crenshaw Jr.</p>	<p><u>Joint Case Resolution Statement (May 10, 2024): unable to reach settlement but open to discuss in future</u></p> <p><u>Chief Judge Crenshaw Order on Status of Case: motion for prel. injunction will be decided in due course (Apr. 19, 2024)</u> no oral argument</p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' Motion to Ascertain Status</u> (filed April 12, 2024)</p> <p>ORDER SETTING CASE FOR TRIAL: Jury Trial is set for 11/18/2025 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Chief Judge Waverly D. Crenshaw Jr. Exhibit List due by 11/3/2025. Witness List due by 11/3/2025. Pretrial Conference is set for 11/10/2025 at 10:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Chief Judge Waverly D. Crenshaw Jr. Proposed Pretrial Order due by 11/3/2025.</p> <p><u>Anthropic's Motion to Dismiss</u> (Nov. 22, 2023) <u>Plaintiffs' Response</u> (Jan. 22, 2024) <u>Anthropic's Reply</u> (Feb. 14, 2024)</p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u> (Nov. 16, 2023) <u>Anthropic's opposition</u> (Jan. 15, 2024) <u>Plaintiffs' Reply</u> (Feb. 14, 2024)</p>
<p><u>Authors Guild v. Open AI</u></p> <p><u>Alter v. OpenAI, Microsoft, formerly Julian Sancton v. OpenAI, Microsoft</u> consolidated with Authors Guild v. OpenAI</p>	<p>SDNY</p>	<p>Stein</p>	<p><u>OpenAI Opp. to motion for biweekly status reports (May 17, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Microsoft Opp. to Motion for biweekly status reports (May 17, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>STIPULATED PROTECTIVE</u></p>

plus Basbanes v. Microsoft
below

ORDER...regarding procedures to be followed that shall govern the handling of confidential material...SO STIPULATED AND AGREED. The protective order may be amended for good cause. SO ORDERED. (Signed by Judge Sidney H. Stein on 5/15/2024) Filed In Associated Cases: 1:23-cv-08292-SHS, 1:23-cv-10211-SHS, 1:24-cv-00084-SHS (jca) (Entered: 05/16/2024)

LETTER MOTION for Conference Concerning Plaintiffs' Request for Biweekly Status Conferences addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Rohit Nath, Rachel Geman, and Scott Sholder dated May 15, 2024. Document filed by Authors Guild, et al.

Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Compel (May 7, 2024)

Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants to produce its FTC responses and documents to show identities of 2 former employees who created datasets of books in OpenAI's training data (May 6, 2024)

Appearances by OpenAI's Attorneys from Keker, Van Nest & Peters

Robert Van Nest

Paven Malhotra

R. James Slaughter

Michelle Sabrina Ybarra

Nicholas Samuel Goldberg

Katie Lynn Joyce

Thomas Edward Gorman

OpenAI opp. to motion to compel documents (Apr. 16, 2024)

OpenAI motion to file under seal +response to Plaintiffs' motion to file under seal (Apr. 16, 2024)

			<p><u>California Plaintiffs' Notice of Appeal to Second Circuit re: denial of motion to intervene in SDNY lawsuit</u> (filed April 13, 2024)</p> <p><u>Judge Stein order denying California plaintiffs' motion to intervene</u> (filed April 1, 2024)</p> <p><u>Answer filed by OpenAI</u> (Feb. 16, 2024)</p> <p><u>Answer filed by Microsoft</u> (Feb. 16, 2024)</p> <p><u>CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT filed</u> (Feb. 2, 2024).</p>
<p><u>Basbanes v. Microsoft</u> consolidated for pretrial purposes pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42. with Authors Guild v. OpenAI above</p>	<p>SDNY</p>	<p>Stein</p>	<p>STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER...regarding procedures to be followed that shall govern the handling of confidential material (May 16, 2024)</p> <p>Appearances by OpenAI's Attorneys from Kecker, Van Nest & Peters: <u>Robert Van Nest</u> <u>Paven Malhotra</u> <u>R. James Slaughter</u> <u>Michelle Sabrina Ybarra</u> <u>Nicholas Samuel Goldberg</u> <u>Katie Lynn Joyce</u> <u>Thomas Edward Gorman</u></p> <p><u>California Plaintiffs' Notice of Interlocutory Appeal to Second Circuit re: denial of motion to intervene in SDNY lawsuit</u> (filed April 13, 2024)</p> <p><u>Judge Stein order denying California plaintiffs' motion to intervene</u> (filed April 1, 2024). <u>Complaint filed</u> (Jan. 5, 2024)</p>
<p><u>New York Times v. Microsoft</u></p>	<p>SDNY</p>	<p>Stein</p>	<p>* <u>LETTER RESPONSE of OpenAI in Opposition to Motion addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Allyson R. Bennett; Joseph R. Wetzel; Nicholas S. Goldberg dated June 13, 2024 re: 141 LETTER</u></p>

MOTION for Conference Regarding Discovery Disputes With OpenAI addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Ian B. Crosby and Steven Lieberman dated June 11, 2024.

*

LETTER MOTION of New York Times for Conference Regarding Discovery Disputes With OpenAI addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Ian B. Crosby and Steven Lieberman dated June 11, 2024

Motion of OpenAI to Consolidate New York Times lawsuit with Daily News lawsuit (Jun. 6, 2024), oral argument requested

*Various Discovery Disputes:

LETTER RESPONSE in Opposition to Motion from Allyson R. Bennett for defendants dated 06/05/2024 re: 128 LETTER MOTION for Conference regarding three disputes with OpenAI relating to The Time's First Set of Requests for Production from Ian B. Crosby and Steven Lieberman dated June 3, 2024. Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP, LLC, OpenAI Global LLC, OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI LLC, OpenAI LP, OpenAI OpCo LLC, OpenAI, Inc.. (June 6, 2024)*

JOINT LETTER from parties' counsel dated June 5, 2024 re: Proposed ESI Order. (Entered: Jun. 5, 2024)*

LETTER RESPONSE to Motion from Ian B. Crosby and Steven Lieberman dated June 4, 2024 re: 124 LETTER MOTION to Compel The New York Times

Company to Produce Documents addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Joseph R. Wetzel dated May 23, 2024. Joint Update. Document filed by The New York Times Company (June 5, 2024); Parties disagree on whether NYT must disclose what it did to get regurgitations from ChatGPT*

DECLARATION of Andrew M. Gass re: 118 Notice (Other), // Declaration of Andrew M. Gass in Support of OpenAI Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to file First Amended Complaint and Conditional Cross-Motion. Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP, LLC, OpenAI Global LLC, OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI LLC, OpenAI LP, OpenAI OpCo LLC, OpenAI, Inc.. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 – May 29, 2024 Email).(Gass, Andrew) (Entered: 06/03/2024)*

RESPONSE re: 118 Notice (Other), // OpenAI Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support of Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to file First Amended Complaint and Conditional Cross-Motion. Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP, LLC, OpenAI Global LLC, OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI LLC, OpenAI LP, OpenAI OpCo LLC, OpenAI, Inc..(Gass, Andrew) (Entered: 06/03/2024)*

*CROSS MOTION for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery // Conditional Cross-Motion in the event Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend is Granted. Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP,

LLC, OpenAI Global LLC, OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI LLC, OpenAI LP, OpenAI OpCo LLC, OpenAI, Inc...(Gass, Andrew) (Entered: 06/03/2024)*

DECLARATION of Annette Hurst in Support re: 129 Opposition Brief. Document filed by Microsoft Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E).(Hurst, Annette) (Entered: 06/03/2024)*

OPPOSITION BRIEF re: 118 Notice (Other), Microsoft's Conditional Opposition to The New York Times Company's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint. Document filed by Microsoft Corporation..(Hurst, Annette) (Entered: 06/03/2024)*

LETTER MOTION for Conference regarding three disputes with OpenAI relating to The Time's First Set of Requests for Production addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Ian B. Crosby and Steven Lieberman dated June 3, 2024. Document filed by The New York Times Company. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E). (Crosby, Ian) (Entered: 06/03/2024)*

Stipulated Protective Order (May 31, 2024)*

LETTER RESPONSE in Opposition to Motion addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Ian B. Crosby and Steven Lieberman dated May 28, 2024 re: 124 LETTER MOTION to Compel The New

York Times Company to Produce Documents addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Joseph R. Wetzel dated May 23, 2024. . Filed by The New York Times Company. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Errata 2). (Crosby, Ian) (May 28, 2024)*

LETTER MOTION to Compel The New York Times Company to Produce Documents addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Joseph R. Wetzel dated May 23, 2024. Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP, LLC, OpenAI Global LLC, OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI LLC, OpenAI LP, OpenAI OpCo LLC, OpenAI, Inc.. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A – Feb. 9 Letter, # 2 Exhibit B – Requests for Production, # 3 Exhibit C – Plaintiff's Objections, # 4 Exhibit D – May 14 Email, # 5 Exhibit E – May 22 Email, # 6 Exhibit F – May 7 Letter, # 7 Exhibit G – May 15 Email, # 8 Exhibit H – May 17 Email),(Wetzel, Joseph) (May 23, 2024)*

LETTER RESPONSE to Motion addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Michelle Ybarra and Joseph R. Wetzel and Allyson R. Bennett dated May 22, 2024 re: 117 LETTER MOTION for Conference Concerning Plaintiff's Request for Bi-monthly Status Conferences addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Ian B. Crosby dated May 20, 2024. . Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP, LLC, OpenAI Global LLC, OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI LLC, OpenAI LP, OpenAI OpCo LLC, OpenAI, Inc...(Ybarra, Michelle) (Entered: 05/22/2024)*

LETTER RESPONSE to Motion addressed to Judge Sidney H.

Stein from Jared B. Briant dated 05/22/2024 re: 117 LETTER MOTION for Conference Concerning Plaintiff's Request for Bi-monthly Status Conferences addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Ian B. Crosby dated May 20, 2024. . Document filed by Microsoft Corporation..(Briant, Jared) (Entered: 05/22/2024)*

New York Times Letter re: Warner Chappell v. Nealy decision (May 9, 2024)

Microsoft reply to Opp. to MTD (May 3, 2024)

ORDER Having received the parties' Rule 26(f) Report and Proposed Case Management Plan (ECF No. 72), the Court HEREBY ORDERS the following deadlines:

- a. The last day to amend pleadings and join other parties is May 20, 2024.
- b. Substantial production of documents for all RFPs served by February 28, 2024 shall be completed by June 14, 2024.
- c. Fact discovery shall be completed by September 17, 2024.
- d. Opening expert reports shall be served by October 18, 2024.
- e. Responsive expert reports shall be served by November 18, 2024.
- f. Expert discovery shall be completed by December 9, 2024.
- g. Motions for summary judgment shall be filed on or before January 7, 2025. h. Oppositions to motions for summary judgment shall be filed on or before February 7, 2025.
- i. Replies in support of motions for summary judgment shall be filed on or before February 28, 2025.

			<p>(Amended Pleadings due by 5/20/2024., Expert Discovery due by 12/9/2024., Fact Discovery due by 9/17/2024., Joinder of Parties due by 5/20/2024., Motions due by 1/7/2025., Replies due by 2/28/2025., Responses due by 2/7/2025)</p> <p><u>California Plaintiffs' Notice of Interlocutory Appeal to Second Circuit re: denial of motion to intervene in SDNY lawsuit</u> (filed April 13, 2024)</p> <p><u>Judge Stein order denying California plaintiffs' motion to intervene (filed April 1, 2024).</u></p> <p><u>Microsoft reply to New York Times Opp. (Mar. 25, 2024)</u> <u>OpenAI reply to New York Times Opp. (Mar. 18, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>New York Times opposition to OpenAI's motion to dismiss</u> (Mar. 18, 2024)</p> <p><u>Defendant filed motion to dismiss</u> (Feb. 26, 2024). <u>Complaint filed</u> (Dec. 28, 2023)</p>
<u>Daily News v. Microsoft</u>	SDNY	Stain	<p><u>*Motion of OpenAI to Consolidate New York Times lawsuit with Daily News lawsuit (Jun. 6, 2024), oral argument requested"</u></p> <p><u>ORDER granting 40 Letter Motion for Extension of Time to Answer re 40 LETTER MOTION for Extension of Time to File Answer or Move to Dismiss addressed to Judge Sidney H. Stein from Christopher J. Cariello and Joseph R. Wetzel dated May 15, 2024. Request granted. SO ORDERED. (Signed by Judge Sidney H. Stein on 5/20/2024) (jca) (Entered: 05/20/2024)</u></p>

<p><u>Huckabee v. Bloomberg</u></p>	<p>SDNY</p>	<p>Garnett</p>	<p><u>Complaint filed April 30, 2024</u></p> <p><u>*Huckabee response that oral argument is not necessary (May 14, 2024)*</u></p> <p><u>Bloomberg letter requesting oral argument (May 7, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Bloomberg Reply (May 3, 2024)</u></p> <p>Defendants’ Opp. to motion to dismiss (Apr. 19, 2024)</p> <p>Bloomberg motion to dismiss (Mar. 22, 2024)</p> <p>Plaintiffs’ opposition to the motion to dismiss shall be due April 19, 2024; Defendants’ reply in support of their motion to dismiss shall be due May 3, 2024.</p> <p>It is further ORDERED that discovery shall be stayed during the pendency of briefing on the motion to dismiss. Any party seeking to lift the stay on discovery may seek such relief by letter to the Court filed on ECF after Defendants’ reply is submitted.</p>
<p><u>Raw Story Media, Inc. v. OpenAI</u></p>	<p>SDNY</p>	<p>McMahon</p>	<p><u>*NOTICE of Supplemental Authority filed by OpenAI re The Intercept Open re leave to amend to add specific allegations (Jun. 10, 2024)*</u></p> <p><u>Court denied (May 28, 2024), MOTION for Leave to File Sur-Reply . Document filed by AlterNet Media, Inc., Raw Story Media, Inc...(Topic, Matthew) (Entered: 05/23/2024)</u></p> <p><u>REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW in Support re: 68 MOTION to Dismiss .. Document filed by OAI Corporation, LLC, OpenAI GP LLC, OpenAI Global LLC,</u></p>

			<p><u>OpenAI Holdings, LLC, OpenAI Inc., OpenAI LLC, OpenAI OpCo LLC..(Gratz, Joseph) (Entered: 05/20/2024)</u></p> <p><u>Plaintiffs' Opposition to MTD (May 13, 2024)</u></p> <p>Appearances by OpenAI's Attorneys from Kecker, Van Nest & Peters: <u>Robert Van Nest</u> <u>Paven Malhotra</u> <u>R. James Slaughter</u> <u>Michelle Sabrina Ybarra</u> <u>Katie Lynn Joyce</u> <u>Thomas Edward Gorman</u></p> <p><u>Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (April 29, 2024)</u></p> <p>ORDER SCHEDULING AN INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE: Initial Conference set for 4/18/2024 at 10:45 AM in Courtroom 24A, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007 before Judge Colleen McMahon. (Signed by Judge Colleen McMahon on 3/6/2024)</p> <p><u>Complaint filed (Feb. 28, 2024)</u></p>
<p><u>Intercept Media Inc. v. OpenAI</u></p>	<p>SDNY</p>	<p>Rakoff</p>	<p><u>ORDER: Accordingly, plaintiff must file an amended complaint by Friday, June 21, 2024. Defendants may then file a supplemental brief in support of their motions to dismiss by Monday, July 8, 2024; the Court will treat defendants' already filed motions as aimed at the amended complaint. Plaintiff may then file a supplemental brief in opposition to the renewed motions to dismiss by Monday, July 15, 2024. The Court will then promptly rule on the motions. SO ORDERED. (Signed by Judge Jed S. Rakoff on 6/6/2024)</u></p>

		<p><u>Microsoft Reply in support of MTD (May 16, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>OpenAI Reply in support of MTD (May 16, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Plaintiff's Opposition to MTD (May 6, 2024)</u></p> <p>The oral argument on the motion to dismiss will now be held on Monday, June 3, 2024 at 10 AM (Oral Argument set for 6/3/2024 at 10:00 AM before Judge Jed S. Rakoff.).</p> <p>Appearances by OpenAI's Attorneys from Keeker, Van Nest & Peters:</p> <p><u>Robert Van Nest</u> <u>Paven Malhotra</u> <u>R. James Slaughter</u> <u>Michelle Sabrina Ybarra</u> <u>Nicholas Samuel Goldberg</u> <u>Katie Lynn Joyce</u> <u>Thomas Edward Gorman</u></p> <p><u>OpenAI motion to dismiss</u> (Apr. 15, 2024) <u>Microsoft motion to dismiss</u> (Apr. 15, 2024)</p> <p>Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Jed S. Rakoff: Oral Argument set for 5/24/2024 at 10:00 AM before Judge Jed S. Rakoff</p> <p><u>Supplemental Rule 26(f) report and Proposed Case Management Plan (filed April 2, 2024)</u></p> <p><u>Complaint filed</u> (Feb. 28, 2024)</p>
--	--	--

Compiled by ChatGPTiseatingtheworld.com

Leave a Reply

PREVIOUS POST

Judge Rakoff order grants The Intercept Media leave to amend complaint to add specific factual allegations of OpenAI's infringement of its works